CASE REPORT

Open Access



Low-cost customized cranioplasty using a 3D digital printing model: a case report

Abel De La Peña^{1*}, Javier De La Peña-Brambila², Juan Pérez-De La Torre³, Miguel Ochoa⁴ and Guillermo J. Gallardo⁵

Abstract

Background: Cranial defects usually occur after trauma, neurosurgical procedures like decompressive craniotomy, tumour resections, infection and congenital defects. The purpose of cranial vault repair is to protect the underlying brain tissue, to reduce any localized pain and patient anxiety, and improve cranial aesthetics. Cranioplasty is a frequent neurosurgical procedure achieved with the aid of cranial prosthesis made from materials such as: titanium, autologous bone, ceramics and polymers. Prosthesis production is often costly and requires complex intraoperative processes. Implant customized manufacturing for craniopathies allows for a precise and anatomical reconstruction in a shorter operating time compared to other conventional techniques. We present a simple, low-cost method for prosthesis manufacturing that ensures surgical success.

Case presentation: Two patients with cranial defects are presented to describe the three-dimensional (3D) printing technique for cranial reconstruction. A digital prosthesis model is designed and manufactured with the aid of a 3D computed tomography. Both the data of large sized cranial defects and the prosthesis are transferred to a 3D printer to obtain a physical model in poly-lactic acid which is then used in a laboratory to cast the final customised prosthesis in polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA).

Conclusions: A precise compliance of the prosthesis to the osseous defect was achieved. At the 6 month postoperative follow-up no complications were observed i.e. rejection, toxicity, local or systemic infection, and the aesthetic change was very significant and satisfactory. Customized 3D PMMA prosthesis offers cost advantages, a great aesthetic result, reduced operating time and good biocompatibility.

Keywords: Cranioplasty, Cranial implant, Skull defects, Polymethylmethacrylate resin, PMMA prosthesis, Cranial vault reconstruction, Low cost prosthesis 3D printing

Background

Loss of a body part has significant repercussions for any individual. The absence of a body part has a great influence in a person's physical state and state of mind, and causes social interaction difficulty; which frequently limits their hope of recovery [1]. Lack of continuity of the cranial vault bones is usually secondary to severe head injury, but can also be secondary to neurosurgical procedures e.g. decompressive craniotomy or tumor resection. Infections, especially osteomyelitis and congenital anomalies or iatrogenic disorders can cause these type of defects [2]. In certain cases, cranial defects can become quite extensive or involve adjacent tissue damage. On the one hand, atmospheric pressure on the defect has a direct effect on intracranial structures causing symptoms like headache, confusion, irritability, psychiatric symptoms, contralateral weight sensation and, epilepsy [3]. On the other hand, osseous cranial defects cause aesthetic abnormalities such as herniation or depressions that may severely affect the patient's quality of life [4].

Repair of the cranial defects has as a main goal to protect the underlying brain tissue, to decrease pain at the site of the defect, improve the appearance and decrease the patient's anxiety [5]. The human body cannot regenerate a lost body part, but reconstruction can be obtained through a multi-disciplinary approach



© The Author(s). 2018 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

^{*} Correspondence: drabeldelapena@hotmail.com

¹Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeon, Plastic Surgery Institute, Mexico City, Mexico

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Fig. 1 Frontal view of the cranial defect after craniotomy

and the placement of a prosthesis. Cranioplasty is one of the oldest neurosurgical procedures, practiced since 3000 BC [6].

For centuries, several materials have been tested to cover osseous defects including coconut shells, allogenic and xenogenic bone grafts, metals and more recently, biosynthetic materials such as resins and ceramics [7]. Methyl methacrylate was used for the first time in 1941; since then, many other derivatives have been used in the operating room [8]. The tendency with any alloplastic material is to increase its biocompatibility. Cranioplasties done with alloplastic materials are already a well-accepted treatment method. The ideal characteristics of prosthetic materials are their inability to cause inflammatory reactions, non-allergenicity or inability to cause hypersensitivity, chemical inertness, non-carcinogenicity, ability to withstand strain and tension, capacity to be sterilized and to be molded into the desired shape when fabricated [9]. Titanium prefabricated prosthesis have some disadvantages, for example, thermal conduction, little chance of intraoperative modifications and a high cost [10]. Intraoperatively produced polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) prostheses require complex procedures such as: preparation of the mixture with direct contact with the dura mater that can produce exothermal reactions or produce toxic monomers during surgery, implant adjustments for osseous adaptation that causes an increase in operating time. Intraoperative implant adjustments may cause poor aesthetic results in large and complex defects [11]. The advantages of PMMA are the following: it is low cost, no donor is required, it is lightweight, strong, inert, radiolucent, non-ferromagnetic and stable. The disadvantages are that it has a low adherence to the surrounding tissue, it may cause tissue reactions (subcutaneous seroma), and may be bulky in some areas like the orbital rim [12].

Computer assisted design and prosthetic material modeling result in an excellent cosmetic outcome, and reduce operating time necessary for implant placement [13].

Case presentation

Case report No. 1

A 10 year old male patient presented with a severe head trauma after falling from a roof that required an immediate decompressive craniotomy (Fig. 1). The patient







was hospitalized in the ICU for 8 days, after which the cerebral oedema resolved, and he was then discharged. Three months later, he was referred to the Plastic Surgery Department by the Department of Neurosurgery to plan the patient's treatment and his cranial reconstruction. He was assessed by our department and was found to be alert and oriented, calm, and cooperative. A CT revealed a 12 cm by 12 cm defect (Fig. 2). The prosthesis was fashioned and the patient was operated 10 days later (Fig. 3). The thickness of the implant produced for this case was of 5 mm. The thickness of the prosthesis matches the patient's cranial vault thickness. The patient was discharged from the hospital

2 days after surgery, he immediately started a physical therapy program and he returned to school after 2 months of therapy without sequelae or neurologic damage. The patient said that his headache subsided, since the prosthesis relieves atmospheric pressure on brain tissue.

Case report No. 2

A 17 year old male patient presented after a motorcycle accident where he was not wearing a helmet. Upon his arrival at the hospital with a diagnosis of TBI (traumatic brain injury), he was evaluated by the Department of



Neurosurgery who decided to treat the patient with a decompressive craniotomy. A CT was done to complete the diagnosis and plan the surgery. The patient had a bifrontal 20 cm cranial defect from the temporal fossa of one side to the other and 10 cm vertical distance (Fig. 4). The thickness of the implant in this case was of 6 mm. It is 1 mm thicker than that of the previous case due to patient anatomic differences in diploe thickness. After surgery, he remained hospitalized for 2 weeks before discharging him. He was referred to us after 3 months of physical therapy once the Neurosurgical Department considered cranial reconstruction feasible. The patient was evaluated and was found to have no neurologic damage and an intact scalp. The patient was deemed an excellent candidate for the placement of a 3D PMMA pre-operative manufactured cranial prosthesis, 2 days after which the patient had a good evolution and was discharged. After 2 months, the patient returned to his normal school activities.

In Mexico these procedures have to be payed in full by the patient, limiting their reach and practice in the general population since they are costly. A customized titanium implant costs around US\$5000, and those made from PEEK around US\$7000 or more depending on their size. The customized prostheses proposed by the authors have a cost of about US\$600, including the digital design, printing of a 3D prototype and the PMMA prosthesis itself. Both titanium and PMMA are the most commonly used alloplastic materials [14, 15].

Prosthesis digital design

The CT scan data is stored in the standard format DICOM (Digital Images and Communications in Medicine) which allows generating an interface between the medical equipment and any other device to visualize the images. Through the DICOM viewer, Osirix[®] generated a three-dimensional reconstruction of all the CT cross-sectional images. A bone filter is applied in order to only observe the bone structure, achieved by taking as reference its attenuation degree.

The implant is generated using the software of the computer-aided design (CAD) ZBrush 4R5° since Osirix° is only a viewer.

The implant piece, Vimplant, is generated from the CT skeletal reconstruction of the patient's cranium. With volumetric reconstruction, Vtotal, and considering its symmetry; an imaginary division is made on the sagittal plane, obtaining two volumes, Vleft and Vright. A boolean operation is applied to the volumes Vleft and Vright: Vimplant = Vleft - Vright (Fig. 5).

The implant design must have a precise shape and volume according to each patient's cranial anatomy. Finally,



the data is exported in a stereolithography extension file (STL) and fed to the printer.

3D printing

A CUBE 3D (from 3D System) printer is used to print out a PLA prosthesis from the STL file using a fused deposition model by means of a 1,75 mm filament at a 260 °C extruder temperature (Fig. 6). Once the printing process is finished, which takes about 20 h, the scaffolds are removed with a low-speed motor and a carbide bur and the adjustment is



Fig. 6 3D printing of the cranial defect and of the customized PLA prosthesis



verified (Fig. 7). The prosthesis is then taken to the dental laboratory.

Dental laboratory

The prosthesis is placed in metal containers to obtain two plaster impressions (an internal and an external surface impression). A transparent PMMA OPTI-CRYL^R is poured into the space created by the internal plaster impression and pressed with the external mold. The casts are opened and the prosthesis is revealed after a 25-min polymerization time (Fig. 8). The prosthesis is then cut, perforated, and polished with a low-speed motor. Precise anatomical compliance to the model is verified and approved by the multi-disciplinary team (including the neurosurgeons), and the prosthesis is then placed on a mannequin for academic and illustrative purposes (Fig. 9). After obtaining the prosthesis in the laboratory, it is washed with normal saline solution and then submerged in a chlorine based antiseptic solution (Microdacyn 60 by Oculus Innovative Sciences in Petaluma, CA.) for 15 min before taking it to the hospital where it is sterilized with ethylene oxide gas before its implantation (Fig. 10).

Surgical technique

Cranioplasties were carried out 3 months after craniotomy in conjunction with the neurosurgeons. The prostheses were then placed over each of the defects to adjust them in vivo with minimum adjustments with the same low-speed electric motor, and fixed with 3 long titanium bridge plates, each held down with 2 screws (Fig. 11). The procedure ended without any unexpected events. The patients were hospitalized for 2 days and discharged after a single drain is removed from each patient to continue their care as outpatients (Fig. 12).

The patients were evaluated every week for 6 months to register PMMA behaviour, biological sefety and any eventualities (Fig. 13).

Results

Two patients, both with large cranial defects (> 100 cm²) underwent a cranioplasty with individually customized prefabricated molds generated from a 3D printed template. A description of some main characteristics of the cranial prostheses can be seen in Table 1.

There were no adverse events such as prosthesis exposure, seroma, infections, nor any toxicity or encephalic inflammation since the cerebral tissue was not exposed to an exothermic reaction in the OR. After a 6 month post-operative follow-up, cranioplasty patients had an appropriate neurological progression defined by measuring their cognitive and motor skills.





Discussion

Hand-manufacturing of a prosthetic implant can only be carried out if the original cranial bone fragment is available. Since the cases treated at our Hospital presented with fragmented cranial fractures, bone preservation was implausible.

PMMA prosthesis manufacturing by hand has been used since 1970 using various processes [1, 16–18], but these methods have been overtaken by computer assisted design and manufacturing techniques (CAD/ CAM) which consist on using images of the cranial defect and manufacturing the PMMA prostheses with a 3D printer [19–25]. The CAD/CAM technique described by Caro-Osorio & Cols. [26] in 2013 is no longer an expensive method. The ideal implant material should have the following characteristics: it should be able to adjust to the cranial defect, it must achieve a full defect coverage, full biocompatibility, inertness, non-thermal conductor, radiolucent, non-magnetic, light, rigid, easily placed and low-cost [19, 27].

A titanium prosthesis [14] is more difficult to manufacture than one made from PMMA [28]. Even though, as Park and cols. [29] have commented, postoperative patients treated with a titanium implant



Fig. 10 Ethylene oxide sterilized prosthesis

have a satisfactory postoperative course evaluated with CTs, documenting an adequate implant fixation and cranial symmetry, the main obstacle with this technique is cost.

Preoperative prosthesis manufacturing is simpler, technically speaking, and has the added advantage of lowering surgical time, blood loss and infection rate, and improving the aesthetic result satisfaction when compared to intraoperative moulding [30].

PMMA preoperative implant manufacturing can be done either by hand or with CAD/CAM techniques. Manufacturing done by hand is cheaper and less time consuming than using a patient's 3D-CT data to then print a 3D implant [21, 24]. Nevertheless, this last method has recently gained popularity because it does not require to be tried on the bone defect to produce excellent cosmetic results [30].

Pre-operative production (ie. prefabrication) of a cranioplasty prosthesis involves a computer-aided design system and direct computer-aided manufacturing



Fig. 11 Placement and fixation with titanium plates



to obtain the desired shape. This system uses standard 3D CT data.

It is done by locating the cranial defect margin on a skull surface image generated from a 3D head CT-scan. A right-to-left mirrored image or an average 3D skull surface template image is then fitted to the patient's skull surface image. The area around the defect is cut out and stitched to the previously isolated defect margin. This defect-filling surface is then tapered and printed out in 3D. The 3D print implant model is then recasted in a biocompatible material [21].

The process differs from ours in that the delineation and the stabilization of the skull defect margin are not required to identify the thickness and the shape of the implant piece. We use the Boolean operation of extraction exclusively between the mirrored side and the side with the defect to obtain the implant piece with the shape and the thickness required.

No complications were observed in either of the cases reviewed in this article, but the population cannot be presumed to be of any statistical significance. Thien A. and cols. [31] reported in 2015 a 25% tendency in incidence towards titanium prosthesis exposition in contrast to a 12.5% incidence with PEEK. These authors also mentioned that infection rate in patients undergoing decompressive craniotomy was

associated with previous cranioplasties [31]. It is evident after reviewing the literature that there is no perfect prosthetic material for cranioplasties free from complications.

The results observed in our cases match the reports from Akam M. and cols. [32] who concluded that polymethyl methacrylate is a low-cost, long-lasting material which can be used to reconstruct full thickness cranial defects.

Conclusions

For years, prefabricated customized cranial prostheses using 3D printers have demonstrated their use and advantage when compared to other techniques. In the Hospital Civil de Guadalajara Fray Antonio Alcalde, a group comprised of researchers and surgeons work together to obtain low-cost customized implants since titanium and PEEK (polyetheretherketone) prosthesis are too expensive for the type of population we treat.

This study proves the efficacy of customized PMMA prefabricated 3D prosthesis. Cranial symmetry is achieved to perfection, little intraoperative time is needed for adjustments, coaptation is precise. Lastly, compared to PMMA prostheses produced intraoperatively, no exothermal reaction is generated in the operating room, nor are any monomers liberated



 Table 1 Description of cranial prostheses characteristics

Analysis	Case 1	Case 2
Intraoperative adjustment time	8 min	16 min
Precision to cranial defect	Exact	Exact
Intraoperative exothermic reaction	None	None
Cranial symmetry	Exact	Exact
Cost	Low	Low

The left-hand column specifies the parameters that were evaluated on each prosthesis. This type of cranial vault reconstruction requires little transoperative adjustment time, since most adjustments are done pre-operatively, and the prosthesis adapts precisely to the defect (this was measured by tracing the prosthesis and its adjustments on to a millimeter paper), restoring cranial symmetry to perfection (a comparison of the intact side against the side with the defect) without the burden of an exothermic reaction on brain tissue, and minimal production cost

through gas formation, polymerization heat is avoided and adjustment time is reduced to a minimum.

The main limiting factor in this method is the generation of the DICOM file from the 3D CT since we do not own a bone scanner and we rely entirely on the hospital's CT scanner availability.

This method allows an encouraging functional, aesthetic, biologically safe and low-cost patient treatment, especially to a low-income patient population like the one that is treated in our facilities, who would not otherwise have the means to pay for their health needs.

Abbreviations

3D: Three dimensional; CAD/CAM: Computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacturing; CT: Computed tomography; DICOM: Digital images and communications in medicine; PEEK: PolyEtherEtherKetone; PLA: Poly-lactic acid; PMMA: PolyMethyl methacrylate; STL: Stereolithography

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Authors' contributions

Every author listed above has been actively involved in conceptual design, data collection, data analysis and interpretation, as well as manuscript drafting and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Name of Ethics Committee (translated): Research Ethics Committee of the Antiguo Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Fray Antonio Alcalde", A translated letter of the Committee's approval has been submitted together with this manuscript. "All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards".

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publications of this case report and accompanying images which have been submitted together with this manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that none of them have any competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeon, Plastic Surgery Institute, Mexico City, Mexico. ²Maxillofacial Surgeon, PhD, Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Fray Antonio Alcalde", Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico. ³Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeon, Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Fray Antonio Alcalde", Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico. ⁴Neurosurgeon, Hospital Civil de Guadalajara "Fray Antonio Alcalde", Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico. ⁵Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeon, Plastic Surgery Institute, Mexico City, Mexico.

Received: 25 September 2017 Accepted: 22 March 2018 Published online: 12 April 2018

References

- Dumbrigue HB, Arcuri MR, LaVelle WE, Ceynar KJ. Fabrication procedure for cranial prostheses. J Prosthet Dent. 1998;79:229–31.
- Lee C, Antonyshyn OM, Forrest CR. Cranioplasty: indications, technique, and early results of autogenous split skull cranial cault reconstruction. J Cranio Maxillofac Surg. 1995;23:133.
- Erdogan E, Düz B, Kocaoglu M, Izci Y, Sirin S, Timurkainak E. The effect of cranioplasty on cerebral hemodynamics: evaluation with transcranial Doppler sonography. Neurol India. 2003;51:479.
- Liang W, Xiaofeng Y, Weiguo L, Gang S, Xuesheng Z, Fei C, Gu L. Cranioplasty of large cranial defect at an early stage after decompressive craniectomy performed for severe head trauma. J Craniofac Surg. 2007;18(3):526–32.
- Beumer J, Firtell DN, Curtis TA. Current concepts in cranioplasty. J Prosthet Dent. 1979;42:67–77.
- Pietrzak WS. Musculoskeletal tissue regeneration: biological materials and methods. Chapter 1. Musculoskeletal and wound treatment through ages: a brief historical tour. Totowa: Springer Humana press publishers USA; 2008. p. 3–18.
- Abdulai A, Iddrissu M, Dakurah T. Cranioplasty using polymethyl methacrylate implant constructed from an alginate impression and wax elimination technique. Ghana Med J. 2006;40(1):18–21.
- Van Gool A. Preformed polymethylmethacrilate cranioplasties. Report of 45 cases. J Maxillofac Surg. 1985;13:2–8.
- Roa TT. Materiales inertes. In: En Coiffman F, editor. Texto de Cirugía Plástica, Reconstructiva y Estética, vol. 1. Barcelona: Salvat Editores; 1986. p. 805–8.
- Neumann A, Kevenhoerster K. Biomaterials for craniofacial reconstruction. GMS Curr Top Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2009;8:Doc08. https://doi. org/10.3205/cto000060. Epub 2011 Mar 10.
- Golz T, Graham CR, Busch LC, Wulf J, Winder RJ. Temperature elevation during simulated polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) cranioplasty in a cadaver model. J Clin Neurosci. 2010;17(5):617–22.
- 12. Iwama T, Yamada J, Imai Shinoda J, Funakoshi S. The use of frozen autogenous bone flaps in delayed cranioplasty revisited. Neurosurgery. 2003;52:591–6.
- Eufinger H, Saylor B. Computer-assisted prefabrication of individual craniofacial implants. AORN J. 2001;74:648–54.
- Marchac D, Greensmith A. Long-term experience with methylmethacrylate cranioplasty in craniofacial surgery. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2008;61:744–53.
- Moreira-Gonzalez A, Jackson IT, Miyawaki T, Barakat K, DiNick V. Clinical outcome in cranioplasty: critical review in long-term follow-up. J Craniofac Surg. 2003;14:144–53.
- Jordan RD, White JT, Schupper N. Technique for cranioplasty prosthesis fabrication. J Prosthet Dent. 1978;40:230–3.
- Origitano TC, Izquierdo R, Scannicchio LB. Reconstructing complex cranial defects with a preformed cranial prosthesis. Skull Base Surg. 1995;5:109–16.
- Yamamoto Y, Mendel E, Raffel C. Acrylic cranioplasty with alginate molding: technical note. Neurosurgery. 1997;41:305–9.
- Chiarini L, Figurelli S, Pollastri G, Torcia E, Ferrari F, Albanese M, et al. Cranioplasty using acrylic material: a new technical procedure. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2004; 32:5–9.
- Chrzan R, Urbanik A, Karbowski K, Moskala M, Polak J, Pyrich M. Cranioplasty prosthesis manufacturing based on reverse engineering technology. Med Sci Monit. 2012;18:MT1–6.

- Dean D, Min KJ, Bond A. Computer aided design of large-format prefabricated cranial plates. J Craniofac Surg. 2003;14(6):819–32.
- 22. Gerber N, Stieglitz L, Peterhans M, Nolte LP, Raabe A, Weber S. Using rapid prototyping molds to create patient specific polymethylmethacrylate implants in cranioplasty. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2010;2010:3357–60.
- Goh RC, Chang CN, Lin CL, Lo LJ. Customised fabricated implants after previous failed cranioplasty. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2010;63:1479–84.
- 24. Lee SC, Wu CT, Lee ST, Chen PJ. Cranioplasty using polymethyl methacrylate prostheses. J Clin Neurosci. 2009;16:56–63.
- Rotaru H, Stan H, Florian IS, Schumacher R, Park YT, Kim SG, et al. Cranioplasty with custom-made implants: analyzing the cases of 10 patients. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2012;70:e169–76.
- Caro-Osorio E, De la Garza-Ramos R, Martínez-Sánchez SR, Olazarán-Salinas F. Cranioplasty with polymethylmethacrylate prostheses fabricated by hand using original bone flaps: technical note and surgical outcomes. Surg Neurol Int. 2013;4:136.
- 27. Blake DP. The use of synthetics in cranioplasty: a clinical review. Mil Med. 1994;159:466–9.
- Sahoo N, Roy ID, Desai AP, Gupta V. Comparative evaluation of autogenous calvarial bone graft and alloplastic materials for secondary reconstruction of cranial defects. J Craniofac Surg. 2010;21:79–82.
- Park EK, Lim JY, Yun IS, Kim JS, Woo SH, Kim DS, Shim KW. Cranioplasty enhanced by three-dimensional printing: custom-made three-dimensionalprinted titanium implants for skull defects. J Craniofac Surg. 2016;27(4):943–9.
- Maniscalco JE, Garcia-Bengochea F. Cranioplasty: a method of prefabricating alloplastic plates. Surg Neurol. 1974;2(5):339–41.
- Thien A, King NK, Ang BT, Wang E, Ng I. Comparison of polyetheretherketone and titanium cranioplasty after decompressive craniectomy. World Neurosurg. 2015;83(2):176–80.
- Akan M, Karaca M, Eker G, Karanfil H, Aköz T. Is polymethylmethacrylate reliable and practical in full-thickness cranial defect reconstructions? J Craniofac Surg. 2011;22(4):1236–9.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com